

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,865		09/19/2003	Nobuyuki Nakamura	01590CD/HG	6753	
1933	7590	02/21/2006		EXAMINER		
FRISHAU	F, HOI	TZ, GOODMAN	WYSZOMIERSKI, GEORGE P			
220 Fifth A	venue					
16TH Floor	•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YOR	K, NY	10001-7708	1742			

DATE MAILED: 02/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/665,865	NAKAMURA ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
George P. Wyszomierski	1742			

	George P. Wyszomierski	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A</li> </ol>	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply most	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE D6.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second secon	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 3 and 4 would be a	Objection to claim 4.	·	
the non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed: 3 and 4.  Claim(s) objected to: 2.  Claim(s) rejected: 1.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) 🛛 wi	•	-
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>	t before or on the date of filing a North and the date of filing a North and the affidate of the date	otice of Appeal will <u>nc</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but		•	
See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ( 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	ło(s)	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The method steps claimed are disclosed in the prior art. Any alleged differences regarding hardness, etc. made in the reply of 1/24/06 are 1) made by way of argument alone, i.e. do not include any data of probative value to show a difference between prior art and claimed invention, and 2) refer to parameters not claimed, e.g. amount of carbides, hardness, hardenabliity.

GEORGE WYSZOMIERSK PRIMARY EXAMINER